MEMPHIS, TENN. COMMERCIAL APPEAL

M. 216,858 S. 260,847

MAR 14 1965

National disturbance over the United States' deficit position'in internacauses.

Among the causes turned up are: Heavy capital investments by Ameriand American embassies and consulates.

like international defense, is a necessity. Indeed, without it the foreign the State Department is not exempt. from scrutiny in the balance-of-payments crisis.

Career diplomat ELLIS BRIGGS, a. former American ambassador to several countries, has said in his book, "Farewell to Foggy Bottom," that United States embassies and consulates are heavily over-staffed. His concern is for efficiency, but there should be some interest in the overseas spending that finds this outlet.

The State Department's Foreign Service had more than 9,000 American employes as of Sept. 30, 1963, plus 10,000 foreign nationals. The foreign employes held such jobs as chauffeuring, typing and clerical work. The Americans included 4,017 in the staff corps, 3,768 Foreign Service officers and 1,343 Foreign Service reserve officers. The Foreign Service employe generally begins his career as a Class 8 Government worker at \$5,795 a year and can rise to Class 1 which pays \$19,650. An ambassador Such people spend much of their in Minoled w come abroad.

Spending For What? formation Agency and the United States Army Control and Disarma-ment Agency. <u>Hidden</u> within this mass of State Department workers are nutional balance of payments and the merous agents of the Central Intelli-outflow of American gold has sent gence Agency, whose foreign spend-many experts scurrying to find the ing is known to be enormous despite its disguise.

Mr. Briggs, whose experience as a career diplomat should be worth considerable, does not advocate American businessmen abroad, tourists, can withdrawal from the foreign military expenditures, foreign aid—scene. He is not what we now call and American embassies and con-a "new isolationist." But he does believe that State Department efficiency in foreign operations could be Operation of a diplomatic corps, increased by reducing these overseas staffs. His reasoning is buttressed by ALFRED PARKER, executive director trade that does most to contribute of Tax Foundation, Inc., and former to United States income from interaide to the House Ways and Means national sources would wither. But Committee who recently noted: "Con-Committee, who recently noted: "Control thus tends to diminish as governmental activity grows."

Extra hands never increase efficiency. But they do add to cost. If reduction of Foreign Service personnel overseas can add efficiency of operation and at the same time reduce our balance-of-payments deficit, it is a move that the State Department should make, and which Congress should insist upon.

The record of the State Department's fumbling in Indonesia, Egypt the Congo (and any number of the newly independent African nations), and in the Soviet Union often makes Americans wonder whether we are doing anything except set up targets for anti-American demonstrations. The misjudgments of the CIA-never: publicly revealed—raise a question about that agency's argument that it needs listening posts in our embassies. The back-breaking straw is that For-leign Service efficiency is decreased as the size of our diplomatic corps

Mingled with all of this is the fact that a cutback in the employes en-Added to these are employes of trigaged in this questionable service other agencies under the State Descould improve America's balance-of-partment: The Agency for International Development (foreign aid), the deserves sincere consideration.

Peace Corps, the United States In-